

1st, because they put in more big units and needed more men to fill the gaps in the ranks of the big units. In the interval, as the documents show, too, the Soviets had pressed Hanoi to go to the negotiating table during the Christmas bombing pause of 1965. Hence there was a policy review by the Party Central Committee in Hanoi.

It is not surprising that doubt was rising among the Central Committee members in Hanoi, simply because so many expedients had already been tried without success. In 1965, the attempt had been to carry out the pre-U.S. intervention war plan, which was to have culminated in the capture of Saigon. In 1966, a less ambitious effort was made to cut South Vietnam in half with divisions starting from the Cambodian sanctuary.

By the end of 1966, in order to shorten the lines, the emphasis was changed from the center of South Vietnam to the Marine area in the northern provinces and open invasion across the border by whole divisions of North Vietnamese regulars was expensively attempted.

Yet the skill of Gen. William C. Westmoreland and the splendid courage and proficiency of our men in the field always cheated the Hanoi war planners of their longed-for "victory."

No U.S. battalion had been annihilated. Still worse, V.C. recruitment in the South had continuously declined, and enemy losses on the battlefield and from illness and desertion had continuously, cruelly risen. By May, when the plans for the Conthien siege were probably first approved, the deficit between enemy losses and Southern recruitment had reached 18,000 men per month. And this manpower deficit, equivalent to a U.S. requirement for 165,000 men per month, could only be covered by soldiers sent from the North. The manpower deficit was of course not being covered and consequently the situation was critical.

The Conthien plan, therefore, has to be understood as a last desperate attempt to realize the requirements of Resolutions 12 and 13 for swift "victory" by the "annihilation of an American battalion"—the Marine battalion holding this exposed outpost. Conthien was unique in the entire geography of South Vietnam, in that it gave the Hanoi war planners a chance to equalize the U.S. superiority in heavy weapons with artillery emplaced across the border. And the large numbers of artillery pieces brought up for this purpose were the real key to the plan for the Conthien siege.

The siege was an end-of-the-road scheme in short. It has now been abandoned when many people at home were beginning to sound less like Americans than the more sordid sort of Frenchman. That, in itself, would point to a drastic revision of Resolutions 12 and 13 by the Hanoi leaders. And fortunately there are also documents which make the foregoing speculation into a near-certainty.

### *N E file* Broyhill Speaks Out on the Middle East—

#### A Forthright, Perceptive Analysis

#### EXTENSION OF REMARKS OF

#### HON. SEYMOUR HALPERN

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, October 11, 1967

Mr. HALPERN, Mr. Speaker, I am inserting in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD the very significant and timely remarks of my distinguished colleague, the gentleman from Virginia, Congressman BROTHILL, who has rendered a vital service to

the cause of peace and justice in the Middle East.

Congressman BROTHILL spoke at a meeting of the Maurice D. Rosenberg Lodge No. 1555, B'nai B'rith, at Alexandria, Va.

I think Congressman BROTHILL has done a great service in tracing the events that caused the present situation in the Middle East. His remarks, however, are far more than a historical recapitulation. He calls for definite moves to stiffen the backbone of our own Government to promote a stand against Arab extremism and Communist penetration of the Middle East.

I especially endorse his demand that the administration release the Douglas Jet bombers sold to Israel last year. Arab fanaticism and Communist intrigue will only be encouraged if the United States fails to meet its commitments to Israel. If these jets are used as blackmail to force Israel concessions, I think that an aroused public opinion, representing Americans of all faiths, will demand an explanation.

Mr. Speaker, I am honored to associate myself with the following remarks by my distinguished colleague:

ADDRESS OF REPRESENTATIVE JOEL T. BROTHILL,  
REPUBLICAN, OF VIRGINIA, AT MEETING OF  
MAURICE D. ROSENBERG LODGE NO. 1555,  
B'NAI B'RITH, ALEXANDRIA, VA., OCTOBER  
10, 1967

It is my understanding that we are privileged to meet during the most sacred days of the Jewish religion. I was deeply moved when I learned of the significance of the Jewish New Year, which you observed last week, and the Day of Atonement, which occurs later this week.

These special holy days, I found, are called "the days of awe". They are so called, as you know, because it is a time of self-examination, of stock-taking of one's life and its implications, of one's relationship to his fellow men. One looks at the past with introspection. One looks to the future with resolve for a new and more sound course of action in keeping with the great Judaic teachings of freedom and human justice.

Gentlemen, since the last Day of Atonement, this whole nation, Jew and non-Jew alike, has lived through "days of awe". We saw the awesome spectacle of the mobilized might of world Communism become the instigator of Arab aggression and the open defender of Arab extremism. We saw this vast power combination arrayed against a single nation—a single people... a very small people numerically, but a very vital people in terms of contribution to civilization and progress.

The Communists were driving for power in the Middle East, hiding like cowards behind the robes of the Arabs. The Arabs acted with arrogance and open contempt for the basic right of Israel, a free nation, to exist.

Israel was the only factor standing in the way of the Communist Arab clique in its cynical move to exploit America's preoccupation with Viet Nam and weakening of NATO. They planned to use Israel as the scapegoat in a brutal and calculated attempt to expel American influence from the Middle East, and even from the Mediterranean.

Israel was characterized as the stooge of the United States. But Israel proved not only not a stooge, but a nation with the courage and determination that our own Government lacked.

As we, the American people... regardless of religion... look back in re-examination of those tense days in May, we must face some conclusions. We must face the im-

plications of those conclusions as we chart a firm course for the coming year.

Gentlemen, we saw the Communists and Arabs tempted to reckless deeds not only by their own malice and greed. Another factor was present. I regret that this other factor was the obvious lack of firmness and determination on the part of our own Government.

Israel stood alone during those bleak and tense days in May. Our State Department told us it had somehow misplaced the commitment signed by Secretary of State John Foster Dulles in 1957. It was a solemn undertaking to support Israel if the Arabs closed the Straits of Tiran.

Soviet military equipment of the latest design poured into the Arab States. The Arabs, having sealed the straits without American intervention, saw the commitments so often expressed by the Executive Branch of our Government were meaningless.

They watched the Tripartite Declaration, linking Britain and France with us in preserving freedom in the Middle East, dissolve into nothing.

Arab armies mobilized on Israel's borders. They prepared for the final and fatal blow, the liquidation of Israel. They would ride the crest of success, having closed the straits and brought King Hussein of Jordan into their camp. Soviet strategy backed the Arabs each step of the way. Russian warships sailed into the Mediterranean to neutralize the U.S. 6th Fleet.

The Executive Branch of our Government proclaimed no doctrine of "thus far and no further". The commitment held so firmly in Viet Nam was ignored in the Middle East. Was Saigon more sacred than Jerusalem? The State Department boys felt that if Israel had to go, it was a domino that we could spare. They advised against U.S. military involvement on the side of Israel. They told the President that U.S. action in the Mediterranean might offend the Arab world and turn them toward Moscow... as if they are not already very far gone in that direction.

The war was thrust upon Israel. Thank God the Israelis were not found wanting. They thrilled the inner heart of every American devoted to human freedom. It was the little guy against the bully. It was a little country of 2½ million against the hundreds of millions of Arabs, Russians, Red Chinese, and so forth. David slew Goliath. We shared Israel's joy and exhilaration in escaping the cruel fate intended for her.

But I seem to remember the State Department proclaiming that we were "neutral in word, thought, and deed". Perhaps the policy-makers were neutral. I was not. I saw a great deal of difference between the independent, free republic of Israel and the pro-Communist Arab dictatorships. I am pleased to report tonight that your Congressman was not neutral in word, in thought, nor in deed.

Let us look back in reflection... not in recrimination. If we understand the past we are not doomed to repeat previous errors. We must be grateful that Israel survived and repelled aggression on a do-it-yourself basis. But we must allow Israel to consolidate her victory, in our own American interests as well as for the survival of the Jewish State.

We must guard against any tendency to barter away the fruits of Israel's victory. We must guard against appeasement of the Arabs and Communists in the Middle East, especially at a time when American boys are dying in Viet Nam. We must not permit a vacuum to develop in the Middle East that would again allow the enemies of freedom to miscalculate. Next time the results might not turn out as well as in June. We cannot allow Israel to be turned into another Viet Nam.

Only the strongest American posture of defense and openly stated U.S. purpose will

October 11, 1967

shatter the Arab and Communist illusion that they can blackmail Israel at the conference table to achieve what they failed to win on the field of battle.

A dangerous vacuum is developing in the Middle East.

Moscow has resupplied the Arabs with jets and arms of the types lost in the recent war. Moscow has backed the Arabs every step of the way at the United Nations. The Communist bloc has even gone to the extent of persecuting Jews who are unfortunate enough to find themselves residents of Iron Curtain countries. Anti-Zionism has given way to outright anti-semitism.

There is no question of where the Communists stand on the issue of Israel. They despise the brave Israelis for frustrating the Russian timetable for penetration in a vital area.

But where does our own Government stand? That is what is troubling me. I have heard the old Hebrew saying that "the watchman of Israel does not sleep and does not slumber". That would be an excellent slogan for our entire nation.

King Hussein of Jordan jumped like a jackal into the war when he thought the pro-Communist regimes of Egypt and Syria would destroy Israel. We had given him tremendous American aid. We were sold an image of the King as an alleged moderate and friend of the United States. But when the showdown came, Jordan joined Communist-oriented forces of aggression.

Jordan has ignored Israel's practical and generous offer to establish a cooperative relationship with Lebanon and Jordan for the mutual development of all three. Israel envisaged export of Jordanian products through Israeli ports. Israel's genius for technical and agricultural progress would be available to the Arabs. All that was asked of the Jordanians was a firm and fair peace agreement.

The Jordanians gave their answer with bullets and bombs. Terrorists in recent weeks crossed the Jordan River to kill an Israeli child and other people. Jordan behaved at the United Nations like an innocent victim rather than as a beaten aggressor. King Hussein flew to Moscow to improve relations with the Kremlin. He is reported to have made arrangements to purchase Soviet weapons and to cooperate more closely with the leftist Arab camp.

The State Department's response, I regret to report, is the presentation of checks totaling about \$6 million to King Hussein . . . to prop up the Jordanian economy. And this is merely a portion of some \$27 million we expect to give Jordan this year. We are also said to be studying the grant of new U.S. arms to Jordan.

This can only be interpreted by Hussein as appeasement and softness. I feel it is absurd to help Hussein pay for his new Russian weapons at a time when border infiltrations and terrorism have resumed. If he wants American aid, let him qualify for it by making peace with Israel. Israel told Jordan she would be safe from counter-measures if she stayed out of the war. But Jordan gave vent to her hate and greed. Let her now face the consequences.

I agree with the Israeli Government position that the picture that exists today is entirely new. The clock cannot be turned back to the situation existing prior to the series of wars the Arabs caused. I feel Israel is justified in seeking a face-to-face peace treaty, based on recognition not only of Israel's existence, but of her navigation rights, and of borders corresponding to the requirements of security and the facts of life.

I reject the Communist ultimatum that Israel must withdraw on a unilateral basis before the Arabs will renounce their belligerency. The Arabs unleashed aggression. They now must face the consequences.

Over a hundred years ago, Mexico launched a war of aggression against Texas. Americans

were asked to "remember the Alamo". At the end of that war boundaries were different than they would have been if Mexico had not forced the war upon us. I have not heard President Johnson suggest that the United Nations seek ways to return parts of Texas and our Southwest to Mexico.

Japan attacked us with Pearl Harbor treachery. Japan, unlike the Arabs, surrendered and signed a peace treaty. They are now our friends. Nevertheless, I notice that U.S. troops still occupy Okinawa for reasons of security. Americans retain certain rights involving Japan on security questions.

Nazi Germany declared war on the United States. Our troops are still in West Germany. We still have the authority to prevent the Germans from developing nuclear weapons.

The Arabs must realize that there are differences between winning and losing. It would be a dangerous precedent for the world to treat an aggressor with more consideration than the intended victim.

We live in a tough world. The Arabs would have been as cruel as the Nazis had they conquered Israel. The Communists would have shown no mercy. What would we have done? Begged Moscow to intercede with Nasar to save the Jews?

The Arabs played rough and got hurt. Now let them make some amends. If they want some of that territory back . . . not all of it, but enough to resettle the Arab refugees who Israel should not be forced to accommodate . . . let the Arabs get off their high horse.

Syria is oriented somewhere between Peking and Moscow in its fanaticism. The Syrians are training new terrorists for what they say will be a Viet-Cong style war against Israel. They joint with Algeria in calling for what the party line calls a "peoples' war" against Israel. I do not think Israel should budge from a single one of those strategic hills from which the Syrians used to fire down into Israeli farms.

Nasser of Egypt would be a comic figure if he had not caused so much tragedy. He is a sort of leftist Mussolini. Despite his loud denunciations of the United States, we went on aiding him. But we still have those who would portray him as a moderate and preferable to any alternative. This is absurd. I would oppose any further cent of U.S. money to Nasser, as I did in the past. If he does not want relations with America, let him jump into the Nile. He once told us to jump into the sea but we went on aiding him nevertheless.

Russian warships are now using the Egyptian ports of Port Said and Alexandria as bases. They have sent missile cruisers and nuclear submarines there, poised at the U.S. 6th Fleet. The Arabs have given the Russians their first bases in the Mediterranean. We are fortunate to have a strong Israel on Egypt's border.

Egypt would have to prove a lot of things to my satisfaction before I would go along with an Israeli withdrawal from the Suez Canal.

Those Soviet ships threaten Israel even more than they do us. Let Nasser get rid of the Russians before the Israelis withdraw. Let him turn the canal over to an international authority that will guarantee access to Israel and all countries on a fair basis. Let Egypt, the most populous of the Arab States surrounding Israel, sign a peace treaty and enter normal relations. That should be a condition for any withdrawal from the cease-fire lines. Even then, I would like to see the Israelis maintain their lines until the Arabs have proven in fact and in deed that they are sincere.

I must report that there are indications that while Russia is resupplying arms to the Arabs, the Administration has failed to fulfill certain contracts with Israel. These contracts cover Douglas A-4 Skyhawk jets, purchased and partially paid for in the Spring of 1966 . . . about 18 months ago. Israel lost

about one-fourth of her valiant air force through combat and by operational attrition. She can get nothing more from France because of DeGaulle's policies.

Israel stands as a buffer against Communism. Her fate is our fate. I cannot understand the reasoning behind deferment in delivery of the Skyhawks to Israel. It seems that the planes are being withheld to obtain bargaining leverage to force Israeli concessions.

If the Skyhawks are good for freedom in Southeast Asia, they are maybe even more valuable in a closer area, the Middle East. I will urge, with every bit of persuasion at my command, that these planes be released. By aiding Hussien and renegeing on a contract with Israel, we are undermining our friends and rewarding our enemies.

The time has come for the United States to tell the Communists and their lackeys in the Middle East, "Thus far, and no more." I place a high priority on that. If this Administration does not take such a firm stand, they should at least give the Israelis the arms they need.

I think we should refresh our minds on the situation that existed before the actual war erupted. Nasser's official radio spoke on May 28, when Nasser was riding high on the straits controlling the Gulf of Aqaba. What the Cairo radio said was of grave concern then. We should remind ourselves now of the origins of the present situation.

I quote from Nasser's official broadcast:

"We challenge you, Israel. No, in fact we do not address the challenge to you, Israel, because you are unworthy of our challenge. But we challenge you, America. We challenge you, gangsters of the Bay of Pigs in victorious Cuba. We challenge you with death which is claiming your soldiers in struggling Vietnam. We challenge you to come near, with Israel, to our gulf, the Gulf of Aqaba. Our soldiers are there with the most powerful war material known in the Middle East. Behind them stand millions of Arabs, including Fidel workers carrying in their hands explosives to blow up every American presence throughout the Arab homeland."

"For our upcoming generations, we want an honorable, proud life. To Israel and American gangsterism we hold death in store. We are waiting, waiting for you, America, before we are waiting for Israel. This is the age of peoples, and peoples are fighting you, enemy of peoples, enemy of peace, enemy of prosperity."

If anyone has illusions about Arab extremism and continued collusion with Communism let him examine the background of the recent anti-semitism disseminated by the "Black Power" movement in the United States. The so-called Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee, known also as SNICK, recently obtained and distributed anti-Jewish and anti-Israel material. The source of the propaganda, SNICK openly admitted, was the Arab embassies in Washington.

Ralph Featherstone, SNICK national propaganda director, defiantly told the press that this was the case.

I raised the question of foreign diplomatic missions in the United States using their status to stir up and exploit domestic racial tensions, prejudices, and violence. I asked the Department of State to examine Arab diplomatic connections with SNICK to determine whether certain Arab diplomats should be declared persona non grata and expelled from the United States. It is my understanding that a study of this situation is now in progress.

I asked the Department of Justice to determine whether SNICK should be required to register under the Foreign Agents Registration Act. I asked the Department of the Treasury to look into the tax-exempt status of SNICK.

There is enough domestic hatred now

October 11, 1967

Approved For Release 2001/11/01 : CIA-RDP69B00369R000200290069-8  
CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — APPENDIX

A 5019

fermented in America without importing it from the Arab and Communist countries. The Arabs and Communists want to exploit our domestic tensions by turning race against race, religion against religion, group against group.

This is a sophisticated audience. You realize, I am sure, that there was something behind the so-called "Black Caucus" at the "New Politics" Convention in Chicago last month. The Black Power advocates who dominated the convention insisted on a one-sided condemnation of Israel for alleged aggression. They depicted the Arabs and Communists as innocent victims. A resolution reflecting the anti-Israel line was adopted. Within a few hours, it was broadcast over the Voice of the Arabs radio emanating from Cairo.

It was also broadcast in Moscow, Peking, Damascus and Amman.

Let us retrace the recent movements of Stokely Carmichael, former head of SNICK. He went first to Havana, where he urged . . . as you know . . . guerrilla warfare in the United States. Then he went to Hanoi. Next we found him in Algiers. Then he was in Cairo. Last we heard from Carmichael he was in Damascus, Syria. There he issued statements condemning Israel as well as the United States. He urged racial warfare in the United States, revolutions in Latin America, and uprisings along the lines of racial war throughout the world. He identified Black Power with the Arab cause despite all that Israel has done through its aid programs for the African people.

Someone paid for Carmichael's trip. He is not operating in a vacuum. The Black Power movement is being used to advance the Arab cause because that issue is a hot item on the Communist agenda.

Just as any sane person opposes arming the Black Power movement in this country, I oppose arming the Arabs. The United States must give the Arabs neither arms nor aid until they change their attitudes. I see no reason for strengthening the worldwide revolution by Black Power, Arab Power, or any other kind of power except the power of free people to defend their freedom, hopes, and way of life.

The State Department line is that if we don't supply the Arabs, they might turn to Moscow. Perhaps we will next hear someone advocate that we arm the Black Power fanatics or else they might become more dependent on the Communists.

Both Arab Power and Black Power have the capacity to destroy and damage. But they do not have the power to win. They can temporarily cause chaos and refuse to live in peace. So can disruptive children. But I do not think it is the best policy to appease and coddle disruptive children who would bring down the house on our heads.

We could learn much from the no-nonsense policies of Israel. There are times in the life of a nation when firmness and decisions are required. Appeasement of lawlessness and aggression causes lawlessness and aggression to multiply.

Israel has helped bring law and order, as well as justice, in the highest biblical prophetic sense, to her region. The Israelis merit our support. We should emulate their conduct. They are fair, compassionate, generous, but take no foolishness when their nation is jeopardized. We could all learn something from the people who gave God's law to the world.

I would like to end on a personal note. During World War II, I served in the infantry in the Battle of the Bulge. It was my fate to be captured by the Nazis. I know very well the barbarity and enormity of the Nazi's crimes against the Jews and against all humanity.

It seemed to me in May too cruel a prospect that the survivors of Hitler's Europe should have created an island of freedom in the Middle East only to face a new Nazism from the Arabs and their Communist

supporters. When the Arabs began closing in to liquidate Israel, I could not help but think back to that tragic period of 1944-45, when I was in a Nazi prison camp.

The Israelis delivered themselves. They also helped to deliver us. The people of Israel drew great encouragement from the support of Americans of Jewish faith and from any other Americans.

I felt great personal satisfaction when the Israelis triumphed. At least one recent chapter in Jewish history must have a happy ending.

But this victory left me convinced that Israel cannot depend on promises. Israel is alive because of her own courage and careful planning.

Accordingly, we . . . you and I and all men of good will . . . must emulate the Israelis and have the courage to speak out for a peace in the Middle East based on a plan of action. We must reject appeasement. We must use all our influence to stiffen the backbone of our own Government. We must tell the Arabs and their Communist comrades, "Thus far and no further."

The enemies of freedom are united and act in concert. Let us unite for the sake of both Israel and America.

Thank you.

### Marihuana and LSD

#### EXTENSION OF REMARKS

OF

HON. JERRY L. PETTIS

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, October 11, 1967

Mr. PETTIS. Mr. Speaker, Dana L. Farnsworth, M.D., director of Harvard University's department of health services, and Curtis Prout, M.D., chief of medicine, have made a significant statement on the problem of marihuana and LSD in the bulletin of the San Francisco Medical Society which I would like to bring to the attention of my colleagues. It is a fair and objective statement on a serious national problem. If our Nation is to avoid a calamity of major proportions—particularly among our youth—I think we should consider seriously the scientific viewpoint of these two eminent scientists:

The taking of drugs, especially marihuana and LSD, (*Lysergic Acid Diethylamide*), is becoming a fad among college and high school students who wish to assert their independence by breaking the law and offending their parents, and who wish to experiment with new physical and psychological sensations.

A brief account of marihuana and LSD from the medical side may be useful.

Marijuana comes from the dried flowering tops of a hemp plant, *cannabis sativa*. Other names for it include hashish, bhang, and "pot." It is hallucinogenic and has no medicinal use. It may be smoked in cigarettes, chewed, or sniffed. Marijuana acts mainly on the central nervous system, and does not produce true addiction. When marijuana is smoked its effects are noted in a few minutes and usually last three to five hours. The drug causes a combination of excitation and depression. There may be an increase in the pulse rate, a slight rise in blood pressure, and small increases in blood sugar and appetite for sweets.

Marijuana has a chemical effect on ordinary consciousness; ideas are rapid, disconnected, and uncontrollable. There may be

feelings of well-being, exaltation, and excitement—that is, being "high." Or, at other times there may be a "down" with moodiness, fear of death, and panic. Ideas may occur in disrupted sequences. Seconds may seem like minutes, minutes may seem like hours. Distance and sound may be magnified. Space may seem expanded, the head may feel swollen and extremities heavy. Some people who take it think that it fosters physical intimacy; but the reverse is usually true. The subject may have sensations of floating, ringing in the ears, and tremors. Persons taking the drug may be quiet and drowsy when alone; restless, talkative, laughing, or joking when in company. Large doses may produce confusion, disorientation, and increased anxiety. In a few instances marijuana has produced psychoses, as does LSD.

A dangerous effect from marijuana is the slowing of reflexes. Since marijuana also causes a distortion of reality, particularly of the sense of time, the drug is frequently a cause of automobile accidents.

The medical evidence is mounting that a good deal of marijuana currently sold to students is adulterated. Indeed often "laced" with mixtures of other hallucinogenic drugs to strengthen the effect of the drug. Marijuana is not a specific product but a variable mixture of flowers, leaves, stems, and sometimes the roots of the hemp plant. The mixture may be weak or strong in its physiological effect, and where it is weak, invites adulteration. The fact is a person buying "marijuana" has no way of knowing what he is actually getting.

Marijuana does not produce physical addiction, but it does produce significant dependence, to a serious degree. This is a fact well known to doctors working with college students. The social influences surrounding the use of marijuana also encourage experimentation with other drugs, notably LSD, and, of course, may lead into addiction to narcotics.

LSD is a far more dangerous drug than marijuana, and in the opinion of many informed persons is a greater menace to users than even the addictive narcotics.

During the earlier years of experimentation with LSD the adverse effects were not considered to be very serious. However, in recent years, numerous cases have been reported of prolonged psychotic reactions from LSD, lasting from a few months up to two years. Hospitals in large cities, particularly New York and Los Angeles, have had many cases of acute psychoses arising from ingestion of this drug.

LSD differs from most other drugs because its effects occur at varying periods after the ingestion of the drug. What it does, in effect, is to start in motion processes, as yet not understood, which produce effects after the drug itself has left the bloodstream. There may be severe depressions lasting for several months, or psychotic reactions, or at other times both pleasant and frightening episodes.

Another observed effect of LSD is a reduction in responsibility—that is, the individual's judgment is impaired. In our judgment now, after a careful study of the effects of LSD, we consider it inadvisable for an individual who takes even one dose of LSD to make a major decision about himself for at least three months.

When one is under the influence of LSD, one can ignore the facts that were previously held to be valid and construct new beliefs, no matter how irrational. Such forms of thinking bear many similarities to psychosis. A person may feel that he has powers which he did not previously have, or that certain laws of the environment (such as vulnerability) are not operative in his case. For example, feeling omnipotent, he believes he can jump out of the window with no harmful results. Those who are enthusiastic about LSD are often given to wishful think-

October 11, 1967

A 5020

ing. They see in their experiences only what they wish to be true above all other truths. Medical records indicate that most people now taking the drug are young, mainly between 17 and 25; but a number of older persons also turn to L.S.D., especially persons having some difficult personality conflict.

One significant statement about the use of L.S.D. was made by Freedman and Powelson in the *Nation* on January 31, 1966: "L.S.D. enthusiasts talk of religious conversions, the awakening of artistic creativity, the reconciliation of opposites. The main change to be observed in such individuals, however, is that they have stopped doing anything. The aspiring painter talks of the heightening of his aesthetic sensibilities and skills, but he has stopped painting. The graduate student who withdrew from writing his dissertation in philosophy talks of the wondrous philosophical theories he has evolved. But nothing is written. It seems that the world of fantasy has become far more compelling than external things. Indeed, fantasy is substituted for reality."

We know now that long-term subtle psychological damage may result from L.S.D. Such damage may be glossed over by the pleasure and enthusiasm engendered by the substance, but we have seen too many cases of psychic breakdown to doubt the serious dangers of the drug. It is even possible that the brain is structurally damaged. There is recent evidence that L.S.D. attacks hereditary genes.

In short, our professional medical opinion is that playing with L.S.D. is a desperately dangerous form of "drug roulette." The medical evidence is clear. Any person taking L.S.D. runs the clear risk of psychotic breakdown and longrun physiological damage.

DANA L. FARNSWORTH, M.D.  
Director.

CURTIS PROUT, M.D.  
Chief of Medicine.

### Mr. Carl T. Jones of Huntsville, Ala.

#### EXTENSION OF REMARKS OF

**HON. ROBERT E. JONES**

OF ALABAMA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, October 11, 1967

Mr. JONES of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, with a great sense of personal loss I report the death of Carl T. Jones, Huntsville, Ala.'s foremost civic worker. An engineer by profession, he devoted countless hours to building of dozens of civic projects to provide a better life for all in the rapidly growing space center of north Alabama. He was an eager and tireless salesman for Huntsville and was responsible for attracting many new industries to the area.

He had a vision of the potential for proper development of the great Tennessee Valley area of Alabama and he had the ability, courage, and determination to work for realization of that vision.

It was a great personal pleasure for me to work with Carl T. Jones in numerous endeavors in the Huntsville area. His knowledge and enthusiasm provided the extra push often so necessary for the accomplishment of a significant goal. No community ever had a more devoted worker for proper progress and development.

As the son of an influential pioneer Madison County family, Carl T. Jones

was always interested in building and development. In becoming an engineer, he was following in his father's footsteps.

During World War II, Carl T. Jones served his country with distinction as a combat engineer in Alaska and in Europe. Immediately after the war, he was the only Reserve officer to serve as an instructor at the Army War College.

His service to the community grew as the city of Huntsville expanded at an unprecedented rate during the past 10 years. He was considered a full-time civil servant and a leader in every worthwhile project in the city.

Carl T. Jones served as a founder and early president of the Huntsville Industrial Expansion Committee, a group concerned with attracting new industry to the area; president of the Rotary Club; president of Huntsville Industrial Associates, Inc., an industrial development group; head of G. W. Jones & Sons, consulting engineers; was on the board of directors of the First National Bank of Huntsville and the North Alabama Mineral Development Co.; was a member of the First Methodist Church, Chamber of Commerce, Newcomer Society; Historical Society; Veterans of Foreign Wars; American Legion; Knight Templars of the Masonic Order; Alabama Cattlemen's Association; Alabama Farm Bureau; Ducks Unlimited, and American Society of Civil Engineers. He was also active in real estate, farming, and other business interests.

He received Huntsville's "Distinguished Citizen Award" in 1965.

His unexpected death came October 7 while watching the University of Alabama football game in Birmingham.

As a close personal friend for nearly 40 years, I have extended to his wife and family most heartfelt sympathy at his death.

Because the people of the Huntsville area depended so heavily on Carl T. Jones for the spark of drive and leadership to accomplish worthwhile projects, the family's loss will be shared by the entire community.

### How To Tell a Democrat From a Republican

#### EXTENSION OF REMARKS OF

**HON. ANDREW JACOBS, JR.**

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, October 11, 1967

Mr. JACOBS. Mr. Speaker, at last the confusion about the difference between a Democrat and a Republican has been cleared up. In fact, it was cleared up in November 1962, by Mr. Will Stanton, by his article in the *Ladies' Home Journal*, a part of which appears as follows:

To BE READ ALOUD BY A DEMOCRAT TO A REPUBLICAN OR BY A REPUBLICAN TO A DEMOCRAT

Although to the casual glance Republicans and Democrats may appear to be almost indistinguishable, here are some hints which should result in positive identification:

Democrats seldom make good polo players. They would rather listen to Bela Bartok.

The people you see coming out of white wooden churches are Republicans.

Democrats buy most of the books that have been banned somewhere. Republicans form censorship committees and read them as a group.

Republicans are likely to have fewer but larger debts that cause them no concern.

Democrats owe a lot of small bills. They don't worry either.

Republicans consume three fourths of all the rutabaga produced in this country. The remainder is thrown out.

Republicans usually wear hats and almost always clean their paintbrushes.

Democrats give their worn-out clothes to those less fortunate. Republicans wear theirs.

Republicans post all the signs saying No Trespassing and These Deer are Private Property and so on. Democrats bring picnic baskets and start their bonfires with the signs.

Republicans employ exterminators. Democrats step on the bugs.

Republicans have governesses for their children. Democrats have grandmothers.

Democrats name their children after currently popular sports figures, politicians and entertainers. Republican children are named after their parents or grandparents, according to where the most money is.

Large cities such as New York are filled with Republicans—up until 5 P.M. At this point there is a phenomenon much like an automatic washer starting the spin cycle. People begin pouring out of every exit of the city. These are Republicans going home.

Democrats keep trying to cut down on smoking, but are not successful. Neither are Republicans.

Republicans tend to keep their shades drawn, although there is seldom any reason why they should. Democrats ought to, but don't.

Republicans fish from the stern of a chartered boat. Democrats sit on the dock and let the fish come to them.

Republicans study the financial pages of the newspaper. Democrats put them in the bottom of the bird cage.

Most of the stuff you see alongside the road has been thrown out of car windows by Democrats.

On Saturday, Republicans head for the hunting lodge or the yacht club. Democrats wash the car and get a haircut.

Republicans raise dahlias, Dalmatians and eyebrows. Democrats raise Airedales, kids and taxes.

Democrats eat the fish they catch. Republicans hang them on the wall.

Democrats watch TV crime and Western shows that make them clench their fists and become red in the face. Republicans get the same effect from the presidential press conferences.

Christmas cards that Democrats send are filled with reindeer and chimneys and long messages. Republicans select cards containing a spray of holly, or a single candle.

Democrats are continually saying, "This Christmas we're going to be sensible." Republicans consider this highly unlikely.

Republicans smoke cigars on weekdays.

Republicans have guest rooms. Democrats have spare rooms filled with old baby furniture.

Republican boys date Democratic girls. They plan to marry Republican girls, but feel they're entitled to a little fun first.

Democrats make up plans and then do something else. Republicans follow the plans their grandfathers made.

Democrats purchase all the tools—the power saws and mowers. A Republican probably wouldn't know how to use a screwdriver.

Democrats suffer from chapped hands and headaches. Republicans have tennis elbow and gout.

Republicans sleep in twin beds—some even in separate rooms. That is why there are more Democrats.